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Take Home Messages

• Research-validated interactive learning strategies can benefit ALL students in ALL classroom environment - BUT

• The quality of our implementation is likely the most deterministic factor toward student achievement
CAE National Study

- Almost 4000 students
- 31 institutions
- 36 instructors
- 69 different sections
  - Section sizes vary from <10 to 180
    (now with sections >750!)
Medium level $<g> > 0.30$
Just the tip of the iceberg of what it takes to create a highly functioning interactive engagement classroom.
Moderation Continues to Grow by Leaps & Bounds

Tips from Our New Guest Moderator on Moderation

Hello, fellow astronomy educators! I'm Patrick M. Len ("P-dog" to my students), and I am your new Guest Moderator for Astrolmer@CAE. I currently teach physics and astronomy at Cuesta College, a small community college in San Luis Obispo, CA, and have taught physics and astronomy at Cosumnes River College (Sacramento, CA), Sonoma State University (Rohnert Park, CA), and University of California (Davis, CA).

I have been closely following Astrolmer@CAE for a number of years. Moving ... More >>

More Teaching Strategies

CAE Methods & Materials:
A "Newbie" Instructor's Perspective
This Month's Teaching Strategy comes to us from Joe Kabbes (Harper Community College). We met Joe at our CAE Teaching Excellence Workshop in St. Louis last summer. More >>

Revisiting Think-Pair-Share:
An Expanded "How-To" Guide
After attending the Austin CAE Teaching Excellence Workshop in January, Amy Forestell, UT Austin graduate student, decided to take a look at the Think-Pair-Share... More >>

Classroom Assessment Techniques:
A Brief Overview
In our CAE Teaching Excellence Workshops, we discuss quite a few classroom assessment techniques that could be used to improve learning in an introductory... More >>

Seeing the Universe through NASA's Eyes

NASA's Image of the Day Gallery

Additional Teaching Strategies >>
Teaching Excellence Workshops

Workshops Locations
Click a location to register for a specific workshop

Fall/Winter 2010/11
1. **Oberlin, OH**
   - Tier I
   - September 18-19, 2010
2. **Dearborn, MI**
   - Regional Teaching Exchange
   - October 1-2, 2010
3. **Seattle, WA**
   - Tier I, CATS
   - January 8-9, 2011
4. **Seattle, WA**
   - Tier II, CATS, Special Topics
   - January 9, 2011
5. **Plano, TX**
   - Regional Teaching Exchange
   - February 12, 2011

Spring/Summer 2011
6. **Boulder, CO**
   - Cosmos in the Classroom
   - July 31 - August 4, 2010
7. **New Paltz, NY**
   - CATS Regional Teaching Exchange
   - March 26, 2011
8. **El Paso, Texas**
   - Tier I
   - April 15 - 16, 2011
9. **Seattle, WA**
   - CATS Regional Teaching Exchange
   - April 16, 2011
10. **Boston, MA**
    - Tier I, CATS
    - May 21 & 22, 2011
11. **Boston, MA**
    - Tier II, CATS, Special Topics
    - May 22, 2011
12. **Hilo, HI**

Fall/Winter 2011/12
13. **Austin, TX**
    - Tier I, CATS
    - January 7-8, 2012
14. **Austin, TX**
    - Tier II, CATS, Special Topics
    - January 8, 2012
“Most ideas about teaching are not new, but not everyone knows the old ideas.” Euclid (300 B.C.)
Centennial Hall Performing Arts Theater at University of Arizona
Perspectives on Teaching in the Active Learning Environment

“I’m comfortable engaging with my students.”

“I know how to get my students to intellectually engage in critical reasoning and problem solving.”

“I know how to create highly interactive learning environments that get all my students collaborating.”

“I know what to do when my students get stuck.”

“I know how to handle a group that is asking for answers.”

“I know how to handle a group that is not collaborating.”

etc., etc., etc…
What Can I do Besides Lecture to Engage Students in their Learning?

• Ask students questions (not all questions are equal)
• Use interactive videos, demonstrations, animations, and simulations
• **In-class writing** (with or without discussion)
  – Muddiest Point
  – Summary of Today's Main Points
  – Writing Reflections
• Think-Pair-Share or Peer Instruction
• Small Group Interactions
  – Concept Maps
  – Case Studies
  – Sorting Tasks
  – Ranking Tasks
  – Lecture-Tutorials
• Student Debates (individual/group)
• Whole Class Discussions
Does your class intellectually engage your students and deepen their conceptual understanding and critical thinking ability or does it reinforce the memorization of facts and declarative knowledge?

Bloom’s Taxonomy of Educational Objectives

- evaluation
- synthesis
- analysis
- application
- comprehension
- declarative knowledge
Eventually, Billy came to dread his father’s lectures over all other forms of punishment.

“If a Picture is worth a thousand words, then what is a real-world, first-hand, experience worth?

Please participate in the role of a good student!

Don’t get stuck or caught up in thinking like a PHD Physicist or Astronomer!!!!!!

“Eventually, Billy came to dread his father’s lectures over all other forms of punishment”
Todays Topic: “Motion of Extrasolar Planets”

Please pay attention to:

The sequencing of different instructional strategies
The different implementation methods used
How feedback was incorporated
How collaboration was encouraged and motivated
Tutorial: Motion of Extrasolar Planets

• Work with a partner!
• Read the instructions and questions carefully.
• Discuss the concepts and your answers with one another. Take time to understand it now!!!!
• Come to a consensus answer you both agree on.
• If you get stuck or are not sure of your answer, ask another group.
CAE National Study

- Almost 4000 students
- 31 institutions
- 36 instructors
- 69 different sections
  - Section sizes vary from <10 to 180
    (now with sections >750!)
This was a truly national study
CAE National Professional Development Program

Teaching Excellence Workshops

Workshops Locations
Click a location to register for a specific workshop

Fall/Winter 2010/11
1. Oberlin, OH
   Tier I
   September 18-19, 2010
2. Dearborn, MI
   Regional Teaching Exchange
   October 1 - 2, 2010
3. Seattle, WA
   Tier I, CATS
   January 8-9, 2011
4. Seattle, WA
   Tier II, CATS, Special Topics
   January 9, 2011
5. Plano, TX
   Regional Teaching Exchange
   February 12, 2011
6. State College, PA
   Tier I

Spring/Summer 2011
7. New Paltz, NY
   CATS, Regional Teaching Exchange
   March 26, 2011
8. El Paso, Texas
   Tier I
   April 15 - 16, 2011
9. Seattle, WA
   CATS, Regional Teaching Exchange
   April 16, 2011
10. Oceanside, California
    Regional Teaching Exchange
    May 7, 2011
11. Boston, MA
    Tier I, CATS
    May 21 & 22, 2011

Fall/Winter 2011/12
15. Austin, TX
    Tier I, CATS
    January 7-8, 2012
16. Austin, TX
    Tier II, CATS, Special Topics
    January 8, 2012
\[ <g> = \frac{\langle \text{post}\% \rangle - \langle \text{pre}\% \rangle}{100\% - \langle \text{pre}\% \rangle} \]
\[
\langle g \rangle = \frac{\langle \text{post\%} \rangle - \langle \text{pre\%} \rangle}{100\% - \langle \text{pre\%} \rangle}
\]
Instructor Surveys

• To assess the level of interactivity in each classroom, we asked each instructor to fill out a survey detailing how they spent their class time.

• This survey was used to construct an “Interactivity Assessment Score” (IAS) based on what percentage of total class time is used for interactive activities.
Lower IAS (<25%)
\langle g \rangle_{\text{avg}} = 0.13

Higher IAS (>25%)
\langle g \rangle_{\text{avg}} = 0.29
Demographic Survey

- We also asked 15 demographic questions to allow us to determine how such factors as
  - Gender
  - Ethnicity
  - English as a native language
  - Parental education
  - Overall GPA
  - Major
  - Number of prior science courses
  - Level of mathematical preparation
  - interact with instructional context to influence student conceptual learning

- This survey also gives us a snapshot of who is taking Astro 101 in the US
• We conducted a full multivariate modeling analysis of our data

• We confirm that the level of interactivity is the *single most important variable* in explaining the variation in gain, even after controlling for all other variables
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>Coefficients 1</th>
<th>Coefficients 2</th>
<th>Coefficients 3</th>
<th>Coefficients 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(standard error)</td>
<td>(standard error)</td>
<td>(standard error)</td>
<td>(standard error)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-0.70</td>
<td>-0.235**</td>
<td>-0.266*</td>
<td>-0.208**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.046)</td>
<td>(0.130)</td>
<td>(0.046)</td>
<td>(0.051)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>0.093**</td>
<td>0.183**</td>
<td>0.087**</td>
<td>0.170**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.016)</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
<td>(0.015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.032</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Native English speaker</td>
<td>0.019</td>
<td>0.022</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
<td>(0.020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Father with Bachelor’s degree or higher</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
<td>(0.014)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural log of Family Income</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
<td>(0.000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class year</td>
<td>0.018*</td>
<td>0.073*</td>
<td>0.024**</td>
<td>0.092**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College GPA</td>
<td>0.036**</td>
<td>0.106**</td>
<td>0.037**</td>
<td>0.109**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arts, Humanities, or Social Science major</td>
<td>0.101**</td>
<td>0.176**</td>
<td>0.194**</td>
<td>0.181**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.018)</td>
<td>(0.017)</td>
<td>(0.017)</td>
<td>(0.017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last math class taken</td>
<td>0.031**</td>
<td>0.214**</td>
<td>0.054**</td>
<td>0.230**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of previous physical science course</td>
<td>0.044**</td>
<td>0.330**</td>
<td>0.044**</td>
<td>0.320**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous Astrophysics course</td>
<td>-0.029</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.022)</td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
<td>(0.023)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretend Percent Correct</td>
<td>-0.005**</td>
<td>-0.224**</td>
<td>-0.005**</td>
<td>-0.213**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactivity Score</td>
<td>0.0051**</td>
<td>0.258**</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross term: Interactivity score X Arts, Humanities, Soc Sci Major</td>
<td>0.0002*</td>
<td>0.183*</td>
<td>0.00027*</td>
<td>0.158*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0003)</td>
<td>(0.003)</td>
<td>(0.0003)</td>
<td>(0.0011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross term: Interactivity score X Male</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0003)</td>
<td>(0.0003)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross term: Interactivity score X White</td>
<td>-0.0066</td>
<td>-0.044</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0018)</td>
<td>(0.0018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross term: Interactivity score X Native English speaker</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0027)</td>
<td>(0.0027)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross term: Interactivity score X College GPA</td>
<td>-0.0030</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
<td>(0.0006)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross term: Interactivity score X Last math class taken</td>
<td>-0.0002</td>
<td>-0.057</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0004)</td>
<td>(0.0004)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross term: Interactivity score X Number of previous physical science courses</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.0005)</td>
<td>(0.0005)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>F Value</th>
<th>18.2**</th>
<th>24.3**</th>
<th>16.2**</th>
<th>21.0**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-Square</td>
<td>0.105</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p < .05
** p < .01
The results of our investigation reveal that the positive effects of interactive learning strategies apply equally to men and women, across ethnicities, for students with all levels of prior mathematical preparation and physical science course experience, independent of GPA, and regardless of primary language. These results powerfully illustrate that all categories of students can benefit from the effective implementation of interactive learning strategies.

The take home message Part I:
The take home message Part II

Implementation is the most important factor to success in student learning.

More work on professional development of faculty is needed if we are to see wide spread adoption and proper implementation of research-validated instructional strategies.
Item Response Theory (IRT)

\[ P(X_{pi} = 1 \mid \theta_p, b_i) = \frac{\exp[\theta_p - b_i]}{1 + \exp[\theta_p - b_i]} \]
Learning Gains

% Decrease in Failure Rate

STEM Discipline
- Biology
- Chemistry
- Computer Science
- Engineering
- Geology
- Math
- Physics
- Psychology
- Overall

Numbers indicate # of studies reviewed

Hedges’s g

traditional lecture class - mean scores

Freeman S et al. PNAS 2014;111:8410-8415