

# How Students' Understanding of Normalization Changes After Taking Quantum Mechanics

KEVIN LEE WATSON



This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant Number DUE-1452889. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the NSF.

# Introduction

- Normalization of kets, wave functions, and vectors that mathematically represent quantum states is particularly important due to the probabilistic nature of quantum mechanics.
- The goal of my research is to examine students' understanding of normalization as they enter and leave a quantum mechanics course.

# **Research Questions**

- What understandings of normalization do students have at the beginning of a quantum mechanics course?
- How do these initial understandings of normalization differ from the understandings of students at the end of studying Quantum Mechanical Spin?

# Theoretical Framework

- A conceptual analysis (von Glasersfeld, 1995) or "a detailed description of what is involved in knowing a particular (mathematical) concept" (Lockwood, 2013, p. 252) was used in developing the framework.
- See Watson (2017) for more details on the development

		Students' Understanding
Vectors	Vector Space What is a vector space? Examples of vector spaces	
	Vector Representations	
Normalizing	Norms: What is a norm? Examples of norms Procedure(s) to	
	Find Norm Metaphors for	
	Normalizing	
	Procedure(s) to Normalize	
Normalized Vectors	Properties of Normalized Vectors	
	Reasons for Normalized Vectors	

# Methods

- Hour-long, video-recorded, semi-structured interviews with physics students from a university in the northwestern United States
  - Nine were interviewed at the beginning of a junior-level quantum mechanics course
  - Eight were interviewed at the end of a three-week unit on Spin (of which six had participated in the earlier interview)
- Asked questions about several linear algebra concepts relevant to quantum mechanics. This research only focuses on questions where students were asked to normalize vectors.
- Each students' understanding was summarized by filling out the framework. Patterns were found by looking across students.

#### Results – Familiarity with Complex Vectors

- In the pre-quantum interviews, only two of the nine students were able to normalize a complex vector, and several explicitly mentioned never having seen complex vectors before.
- After studying quantum spin, six of the eight students were able to correctly normalize a complex vector.



#### Results – Vector Representations

- In the pre-quantum interviews, students mainly chose to represent vectors in three ways: matrix notation, algebraic vector notation (i.e. v), and graphically as a directed arrow from the origin.
- In the post-quantum spin interviews, some students explicitly chose Dirac Notation for their calculations, and even expressed why they prefer it.



#### Results – Norm and Inner Product Confusion

- In the pre-quantum interviews, all nine students knew at least one way to find the norm of a real vector
- In the post-quantum spin interviews, four of the eight students showed some possible conflation between the norm of a vector and the inner product of a vector with itself.



#### Results – Reasons for Normalization

Pre-Quantum Interviews	Post-Quantum Spin Interviews
<b>Doug</b> : So, you're shrinking it down to that to get just the direction	<b>David</b> : And that's the magnitude of the vector. So, if we want to get rid of that, then, we want to divide by root thirteen.
Damian: I guess, basically what we're doing is we're just cutting down the length to a unit of one. Um, so all we're worrying about here is the direction	<b>Doug</b> : you need to normalize so that way when you're summing up other things, you're getting a probability of 1, otherwise the probability is not going to make any sense.
Drake: Which is useful to, like, for, like, you, if you only want the direction of the vector, not the magnitude	<b>Doran</b> : OH YEAH! I think we are reserving [quantum] states to be unit vectors.

## Discussion

- While these physics students had little to no experience with complex vectors before the course, most seemed comfortable working with these vectors after studying quantum mechanics
  - This could partially be a result of becoming familiar with Dirac Notation (Gire & Price, 2015)
- Future Work: How might students' conceptions of normalization (e.g., "getting rid of the magnitude") impact their understanding of normalizing wave functions?

## References

- Gire, E., & Price, E. (2015). Structural features of algebraic quantum notations. Physical Review Special Topics – Physics Education Research, 11(2), 1–11.
- Lockwood, E. (2013). A model of students' combinatorial thinking. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 32,* 251-265.
- von Glasersfeld, E. (1995). Radical constructivism: A way of knowing and learning. London: Falmer Press.
- Watson, K. L. (2017) Quantum mechanics students' understanding of normalization. In L. Ding, A. Traxler, and Y. Cao (Eds.), 2017 *Physics Education Research Conference (PERC) Proceedings* (pp. 428–431), Cincinnati, OH. doi:<u>10.1119/perc.2017.pr.102</u>